

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

MARTEAL ROBINSON,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:15CV2439
Petitioner,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
MICHELLE MILLER, Warden,)	
)	JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Respondent.)	
)	
)	ORDER

On 11/25/2015, Petitioner filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Dkt. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Burke pursuant to Local Rule 72.2. On 10/13/2016, the Magistrate Judge recommended that Petitioner's Application for Habeas Corpus be denied and Petitioner's Motion to Stay be denied (Dkt. # 16).

FED. R. CIV.P. 72(b) provides that objections to a Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after service, but Petitioner has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that Petitioner is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985);

Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991);
United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, Magistrate Judge Burke's Report and Recommendation is
ADOPTED and Petitioner's Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Dkt.#1) is **DENIED** and
Petitioner's Motion to Stay is **DENIED**.

Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an
appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis
upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §2253(c); Fed. R. App.
P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/2/2016

S/Christopher A. Boyko
CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE